Application No. 10/748,014
Amendment dated October 31, 2007
Reply to Office Action of September 20, 2007

REMARKS

Reconsideration of the application is respectfully requested.

Claims 38-68 are pending. Claims 38, 40, 45-47, 51, 56, 60, and 64 were amended.

In particular, claims 38 and 51 were amended to recite at least one additional catalyst compound in place of at least one methylalumoxane-activatable compound to better capture the spirit of the invention. Support for the amendment may be found, for example, in paragraphs [0070]-[0104] of the Specification. Claims 45-47, 56, 60, and 64 were amended to recite proper antecedent basis in light of the aforementioned amendments.

Claims 38 and 51 were also amended to recite wherein the common support is an inorganic oxide. Support for the amendment may be found, for example, in paragraph [0121]. Claim 40 was amended to be consistent with this amendment.

New Claims 67 and 68 find support, for example, in paragraphs [0143]-[0158].

Thus, Applicants respectfully submit the above amendments and new claims add no new matter.

REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 112

Claims 38-44, 48-55, and 62-66 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, for the reasons stated on pages 2-3 of the Action. Applicants have removed the recitation to at least one methylalumoxane-activatable compound rendering the rejection moot. Applicants respectfully request that the rejection be withdrawn.

REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 102(b)

Claims 38-50, 52-59, and 61-66 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,214,949 to Reddy et al ("Reddy"). Applicants respectfully submit that Reddy does not each *inter alia* a supported, treated catalyst system produced by a

Application No. 10/748,014
Amendment dated October 31, 2007
Reply to Office Action of September 20, 2007

UNIVATION TECH LAW

process comprising the steps of: (a) forming a supported bimetallic catalyst system comprising a first catalyst compound and a second catalyst compound, wherein the first and second catalyst compounds are supported on a common support; wherein the common support is an inorganic oxide; and (b) contacting the supported bimetallic catalyst system of (a) with at least one additional catalyst compound as recited in the independent claims. Thus, Applicant respectfully submit that the subject claims are not anticipated by Reddy and respectfully request that the rejection be withdrawn.

Applicant respectfully requests that all rejections be withdrawn and solicit a prompt notice of allowability. In the alternative, Applicant invite the Office to telephone the undersigned attorney if there are any other issues outstanding which have not been presented to the Office's satisfaction.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: October 31, 2007

L. (Archie) Arechederra, III

Attorney for Applicant

Registration No. 52,457

Univation Technologies, LLC 5555 San Felipe, Suite 1950 Houston, Texas 77056-2723

Phone: 713-892-3729 Fax: 713-892-3687